# IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ADMINISTRATIVE CONSENT ORDER

IN THE MATTER OF:

ROLLING HILLS FEEDLOT, INC.

IOWA NPDES PERMIT NO.2-84-00-0-39(2) SIOUX COUNTY, IOWA 1D# 56731 ADMINISTRATIVE CONSENT ORDER NO. 2009-AFO- 08

TO: Rolling Hills Feedlot, Inc. c/o Wayne A. Dekkers, Reg. Agent & President 4514 Cleveland Ave. Hawarden, IA. 51023

# I. SUMMARY

This Administrative Consent Order (Order) is entered into between Rolling Hills Feedlot, Inc. and the Iowa Department of Natural Resources (Department) for the purpose of resolving violations of Iowa NPDES Permit No. 2-84-00-0-39(2). In the interest of avoiding litigation, the parties have agreed to the provisions set forth below.

Any questions or response regarding this Order should be directed to:

## Relating to technical requirements:

Don Cunningham IDNR Field Office #3 1900 North Grand Ave., Suite E17 Spencer, IA 51301

Ph: (712) 262-4177 Fax: (712) 262-2901

# Relating to legal requirements:

Randy Clark, Attorney II Iowa Department of Natural Resources Henry A. Wallace Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0034 Ph: (515) 281-8891

Payment of penalty to:

# Iowa Department of Natural Resources

Henry A. Wallace Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0034

Attn: Randy Clark

## ADMINISTRATIVE CONSENT ORDER

## ISSUED TO: ROLLING HILLS FEEDLOT, INC.

# II. JURISDICTION

This Order is issued pursuant to 2007 Iowa Code Supplement section 455B.175(1) which authorizes the Director to issue an order addressing any violation of Iowa Code chapter 459A and the rules adopted or permits issued pursuant thereto, and Iowa Code section 455B.109 and 567 Iowa Administrative Code (IAC) chapter 10, which authorize the Director to assess administrative penaltics.

## III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 1. Rolling Hills Feedlot, Inc. (Rolling Hills) owns and operates a cattle open feedlot operation located in the NW1/4 of Section 4, T94N, R47W (Washington Township), Sioux County, Iowa. The operation consists of a 3,400 head capacity open feedlot and three separate manure control systems, identified as the Channels A, B and C, Channel D and Channel E.
- 2. Iowa NPDES Permit No. 2-84-00-0-39(2) (hereinafter, "Permit") was issued on January 3, 2006 with an expiration date of June 30, 2008. The Permit authorized the use of an alternative technology (AT) system consisting of three solids settling basins (SSB) followed by one vegetative treatment area (VTA) that is divided into five channels, A, B, C, D and E, and a diversion channel F to receive treated effluent from the VTA channels. One SSB feeds the pilot channel D, one SSB feeds non-pilot channel E and the third SSB feeds the remaining non-pilot channels A, B and C. The Permit requires monitoring and reporting for all three systems. The Permit refers to channel D as the "pilot portion" of the operation and Iowa State University monitors that portion of the operation as set forth in the Permit. However, the Permit expressly provides in Section I(D), "The Permittee is responsible for the compliance with all requirements set forth in this conditional AT NPDES Permit for the entire operation." Also, the Permit, in Section I(D), includes an explanation of the importance of monitoring and reporting in determining whether the AT system will be authorized at the end of the two year term of the Permit: "The review and evaluation of the second year actual monitoring results will determine whether the system meets the equivalent performance standard of TDNR's rules {567 IAC 65.100 (definition of "alternative technology settled open feedlot effluent control system") and 65.104(10)"b". At the end of the second year from the effective date of this conditional permit, which coincides, with the expiration date of this conditional permit, the IDNR will inform the Permittee as to whether the Permittee can continue operating under AT program or whether the Permittee must construct and operate conventional manure control systems."
- 3. By letter received June 27, 2006, Rolling Hills' engineer notified the Department that the AT system for the Rolling Hills operation was complete.
- 4. Department Field Office #3 (FO3) reminded Rolling Hills by letter dated February 12, 2007, that all monitoring and reporting requirements in the Permit must be satisfied.

## ADMINISTRATIVE CONSENT ORDER

## ISSUED TO: ROLLING HILLS FEEDLOT, INC.

- 5. On December 14, 2007, Department Field Office #3 (FO3) inspected the Rolling Hills operation. The Report of Investigation dated January 2, 2008, indicates that the Department had not received any soil sample analyses, water monitoring reports and other specified information for the non-pilot portions of the operation as required by the Permit. By letter dated January 4, 2008, FO3 provided Rolling Hills with a copy of the Report.
- 6. On December 31, 2007, the Department received Rolling Hills' application for renewal of the Permit
- 7. By letter to Rolling Hills dated June 2, 2008, the Department summarized the sampling, monitoring and reporting efforts by Rolling Hills over the two year term of the Permit. Subsequently, the Department conducted an additional review of these requirements. Areas that substantially met the requirements of the Permit included: daily record keeping of precipitation, temperature and water line inspections; weekly record keeping of solid settling basin depth; record keeping of lot scraping, pen stocking and land application of manure; surface water and ground water baseline sampling for both pilot and non-pilot portions; quarterly monitoring reports for pilot portion; and annual pollutant load discharge reports for pilot and non pilot portion. Areas that did not meet the requirements of the Permit for the non-pilot portions included weekly record keeping of VTA vegetation condition; records of vegetation harvest dates; results of baseline (prior to use of non-pilot VTA) shallow and deep soil sampling, and results of annual shallow soil sampling for 2007; quarterly monitoring reports of system discharge and sampling data for 2007.

# IV. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Iowa Code section 459A.303, authorizes an open feedlot operation to use an AT system for open feedlot effluent control, requires that the AT system provide an equivalent level of open feedlot effluent control as would be achieved by using a settled open feedlot effluent basin and requires the Department to adopt rules establishing requirements for the construction and operation of AT systems. The Department has adopted rules regarding AT systems at 567 IAC chapter 65, Division II, specified in part below.
- 2. 567 IAC 65.104(9)"d" and "h" set forth minimum monitoring and reporting requirements that must be included in NPDES permits issued to open feedlot operations with AT systems. Those requirements are set forth as conditions in Sections III, V, VI, VII and VIII of the Permit. The foregoing facts document that some of the monitoring and reporting requirements specified in the Permit were not satisfied.
- 3. 567 IAC 65.104(10)"b"(1 & 2) provides that renewal of an NPDES permit involving use of an AT system is "contingent upon proper operation and maintenance of the AT system, submittal

#### ADMINISTRATIVE CONSENT ORDER

# ISSUED TO: ROLLING HILLS FEEDLOT, INC.

of all required records and reports, and demonstration that the AT system is providing an equivalent level of performance to that achieved by a containment system. If departmental review of an AT system indicates the system is not meeting the equivalent performance standard, the permittee may either he required to make needed system modifications to enable compliance with this standard or be required to install a conventional runoff containment system." The foregoing facts reveal that monitoring and reporting of the non pilot portion(East system) to date is insufficient to enable the Department to determine whether the AT system is providing an equivalent level of performance. Therefore, contemporaneous with the issuance of this Order, the Department intends to renew the Permit with modifications for a 3 year period to allow an additional period of operation with all required monitoring and reporting so the Department may determine whether the AT system is providing an equivalent level of performance to that provided by a containment system.

567 IAC 65.104(11) provides that the Department may modify, suspend, refuse to renew or revoke in whole or part any NPDES permit for cause. Cause for modification, suspension or revocation of a permit includes, among other things, violation of any term or condition of the permit. By entering into this Order, Rolling Hills acknowledges its understanding that the Department may require installation of a conventional runoff containment system or refuse to renew the Permit when considering the next NPDES Permit renewal application if the monitoring and reporting, or the lack thereof, fails to demonstrate that the AT system is meeting the equivalent performance standard.

# V. ORDER

THEREFORE, the Department hereby orders and Rolling Hills consents to do the following:

- 1. Comply with all conditions, including all monitoring and reporting requirements, of the Permit as modified and renewed by the Department.
- 2. Rolling Hills agrees and is hereby ordered to pay a stipulated penalty in the amount of \$500 per violation per day, or part thereof, for failure to comply with paragraph 1, above.

# VI. PENALTY

- 1. Iowa Code section 455B.191 authorizes the assessment of civil penalties of up to \$5,000.00 per day for each violation of water pollution control laws; more severe criminal sanctions are also provided.
- 2. Iowa Code section 455B.109 authorizes the Environmental Protection Commission (Commission) to establish by rule a schedule of civil penalties up to \$10,000.00 which may be assessed administratively. The Commission has adopted this schedule with procedures and criteria for assessment of penalties; 567 IAC chapter 10. Pursuant to these provisions the

## ADMINISTRATIVE CONSENT ORDER

# ISSUED TO: ROLLING HILLS FEEDLOT, INC.

Department has determined that the most effective and efficient means of addressing the abovecited violations is the issuance of an Order with stipulated penalties. The stipulated penalty is determined as follows:

- a. <u>Economic Benefit</u>. Rolling Hills has enjoyed long term financial savings by failing to comply with all monitoring and reporting requirements in the Permit. However, the Department is assessing an amount for this factor only in the event of noncompliance with the requirements set forth in V. ORDER, paragraph 1, above. The stipulated penalties agreed upon and ordered in this matter include consideration of economic benefit.
- b. Gravity of the Violation. One of the factors to be considered in determining the gravity of a violation is the amount of penalty authorized by the Iowa Code for that type of violation. As indicated above, significant civil penalties are authorized by statute. Despite the penalties authorized, the Department has decided to handle the violations administratively at this time as the most equitable and efficient means of resolving the matter. The integrity of the NPDES permit program is threatened in that Rolling Hills' actions encourage others to disregard conditions of NPDES permits. Further, the environment is threatened in that the lack of complete monitoring records prevents the Department from determining whether the operation is providing an equivalent level of performance. However, as part of a good faith attempt by the Department and Rolling Hills to achieve compliance the Department has determined that assessment of an amount under this factor is appropriate only in the event of noncompliance with the requirements set forth in V. ORDER, paragraph 1, above. The stipulated penalties agreed upon and ordered in this matter include consideration of the gravity of the violation.
- c. <u>Culpability</u>. Inasmuch as Rolling Hills has undertaken to operate its open feedlot pursuant to the Permit it has the concomitant responsibility to comply with all the terms and conditions of the Permit. While Rolling Hills corrected the deficiencies with respect to sampling, monitoring and reporting in the non pilot portion of his system after receipt of the Department's June 2, 2008 letter, Rolling Hills' failure to comply with all monitoring and reporting requirements warrants assessment of a penalty for this factor. However, as part of a good faith attempt by the Department and Rolling Hills to achieve compliance the Department has determined that assessment of an amount under this factor is appropriate only in the event of noncompliance with the requirements set forth in V. ORDER, paragraph 1, above. The stipulated penalties agreed upon and ordered in this matter include consideration of Rolling Hills' culpability.

# VII. WAIVER OF APPEAL RIGHTS

lowa Code section 455B.175(1), and 561 IAC 7.5(1), as adopted by reference by 567 IAC chapter 7, authorize a written notice of appeal to the Commission. This Order is entered into knowingly by and with the consent of Rolling Hills. By signature to this Order, all rights to appeal this Order are waived by Rolling Hills.

## ADMINISTRATIVE CONSENT ORDER

## ISSUED TO: ROLLING HILLS FEEDLOT, INC.

# VIII. NONCOMPLIANCE

Failure to comply with this Order may result in the imposition of further administrative penalties or referral to the Attorney General to obtain injunctive relief and civil penalties pursuant to Iowa Code sections 455B.191, 459A.501 and 459A.502. Compliance with V. ORDER, paragraph 1 of this Order constitutes full satisfaction of all requirements pertaining to the facts described in Division III of this Order and all violations related to those facts, including but not limited to all violations described in Division IV of this Order. The Department reserves the right to issue a new administrative order with an administrative penalty or to seek referral to the Attorney General in heu of collecting the agreed upon scipulated penalties established by this Order.

RICHARD A. LEOPOLE, DIRECTOR

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

· .

by Wayne Debbers

ROLLING HILLS FEEDLOT, INC.

Rolling Hells Feedlot

Dated this 6 day of

/ach , 2009.

Dated this / day of

MARCH, 2009.

Field Office 3; EPA; Randy Clark; Gene Tinker; Ken Hessenius; Reza Khosravi; VIII. B.1